arXiv:1402.1294v3 [hep-lat] 28 Oct 2014

A new scheme for color confinement and violation of the non-Abelian Bianchi identities

Tsuneo Suzuki[1,*]

[1] *Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan*

(Dated: October 29, 2014)

A new scheme for color confinement in QCD due to violation of the non-Abelian Bianchi identities is proposed. The violation of the non-Abelian Bianchi identities (VNABI) J_μ is equal to Abelian-like monopole currents k_μ defined by the violation of the Abelian-like Bianchi identities. Although VNABI is an adjoint operator satisfying the covariant conservation rule $D_\mu J_\mu = 0$, it gives us, at the same time, the Abelian-like conservation rule $\partial_\mu J_\mu = 0$. The Abelian-like conservation rule $\partial_\mu J_\mu = 0$ is also gauge-covariant. There are $N^2 - 1$ conserved magnetic charges in the case of color $SU(N)$. The charge of each component of VNABI is quantized à la Dirac. The color invariant eigenvalue λ_μ of VNABI also satisfies the Abelian conservation rule $\partial_\mu \lambda_\mu = 0$ and the magnetic charge of the eigenvalue is also quantized à la Dirac. If the color invariant eigenvalue make condensation in the QCD vacuum, each color component of the non-Abelian electric field E^a is squeezed by the corresponding color component of the sorenoidal current J^a_μ. Then only the color singlets alone can survive as a physical state and non-Abelian color confinement is realized. This picture is completely new in comparison with the previously studied monopole confinement scenario based on an Abelian projection after some partial gauge-fixing, where Abelian neutral states can survive as physical.

VNABI satisfying the Dirac quantization condition could be defined on lattice as lattice Abelian-like monopole currents without any gauge-fixing. Previous studies of the Abelian-like monopoles k_μ on lattice show that non-Abelian color confinement could be understood by the Abelian-like dual Meissner effect due to condensation of VNABI.

PACS numbers: 12.38.AW,14.80.Hv

I. INTRODUCTION

Color confinement in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) is still an important unsolved problem [1].

As a picture of color confinement, 't Hooft [2] and Mandelstam [3] conjectured that the QCD vacuum is a kind of a magnetic superconducting state caused by condensation of magnetic monopoles and an effect dual to the Meissner effect works to confine color charges. However, in contrast to SUSY QCD [4] or Georgi-Glashow model [5, 6] with scalar fields, to find color magnetic monopoles which condense is not straightforward in QCD.

An interesting idea to realize this conjecture is to project QCD to the Abelian maximal torus group by a partial (but singular) gauge fixing [7]. In $SU(3)$ QCD, the maximal torus group is Abelian $U(1)^2$. Then color magnetic monopoles appear as a topological object. Condensation of the monopoles causes the dual Meissner effect [8–10].

Numerically, an Abelian projection in non-local gauges such as the maximally Abelian (MA) gauge [11–13] has been found to support the Abelian confinement scenario beautifully [14–20]. The present author and his group have shown that the Abelian dominance and the dual Meissner effect are observed clearly also in local unitary gauges such as $F12$ and Polyakov (PL) gauges [21].

However, although numerically interesting, the idea of Abelian projection[7] is theoretically very unsatisfactory. 1) In non-perturabative QCD, any gauge-fixing is not necessary at all. There are infinite ways of such a partial gauge-fixing and whether the 't Hooft scheme is gauge independent or not is not known. 2) After an Abelian projection, only one (in $SU(2)$) or two (in $SU(3)$) gluons are photon-like with respect to the residual $U(1)$ or $U(1)^2$ symmetry and the other gluons are massive charged matter fields. Such an asymmetry among octet gluons is unnatural. 3) How one can construct Abelian monopole operators in terms of gluon fields is not clear at all.

The purpose of this work is to show a new scheme for color confinement due to the dual Meissner effect which is free from the above problems concerning the 'tHooft idea[7],

II. EQUIVALENCE OF J_μ AND k_μ

First of all, we prove that the Jacobi identities of covariant derivatives lead us to that violation of the non-Abelian Bianchi identities (VNABI) J_μ is nothing but an Abelian-like monopole k_μ defined by violation of the Abelian-like Bianchi identities without gauge-fixing. For simplicity we consider $SU(2)$ QCD. Define a covariant derivative operator $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - igA_\mu$. The Jacobi identities are expressed as

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}[D_\nu, [D_\rho, D_\sigma]] = 0. \quad (1)$$

*e-mail:suzuki04@staff.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

By direct calculations, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}[D_\rho, D_\sigma] &= [\partial_\rho - igA_\rho, \partial_\sigma - igA_\sigma] \\ &= -ig(\partial_\rho A_\sigma - \partial_\sigma A_\rho - ig[A_\rho, A_\sigma]) + [\partial_\rho, \partial_\sigma] \\ &= -igG_{\rho\sigma} + [\partial_\rho, \partial_\sigma],\end{aligned}$$

where the second commutator term of the partial derivative operators can not be discarded, since gauge fields may contain a line singularity. Actually, it is the origin of the violation of the non-Abelian Bianchi identities (VNABI) as shown in the following. The relation $[D_\nu, G_{\rho\sigma}] = D_\nu G_{\rho\sigma}$ and the Jacobi identities (1) lead us to

$$\begin{aligned}D_\nu G^*_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} D_\nu G_{\rho\sigma} \\ &= -\frac{i}{2g}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}[D_\nu, [\partial_\rho, \partial_\sigma]] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}[\partial_\rho, \partial_\sigma]A_\nu \\ &= \partial_\nu f^*_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $f_{\mu\nu}$ is defined as $f_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu = (\partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu)\sigma^a/2$. The left-hand side of Eq.(2) if vanishing is the non-Abelian Bianchi identities, whereas the right-hand side of Eq.(2) if vanishing is the Abelian-like Bianchi identities. Namely Eq.(2) shows that the violation of the non-Abelian Bianchi identities is equivalent to that of the Abelian-like Bianchi identities.

Denote the violation of the non-Abelian Bianchi identities as J_μ:

$$J_\mu = \frac{1}{2}J^a_\mu \sigma^a = D_\nu G^*_{\mu\nu}. \tag{3}$$

Eq.(3) is gauge covariant and therefore a non-zero J_μ is a gauge-invariant property. An Abelian-like monopole k_μ without any gauge-fixing is defined as the violation of the Abelian-like Bianchi identities:

$$k_\mu = \frac{1}{2}k^a_\mu \sigma^a = \partial_\nu f^*_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial_\nu f_{\rho\sigma}. \tag{4}$$

Eq.(2) shows that

$$J_\mu = k_\mu. \tag{5}$$

Several comments are in order.

1. Eq.(5) can be considered as an extension of the important relation derived recently by Bonati et al.[22] in the framework of an Abelian projection to a simple case without any Abelian projection. Actually it is possible to prove directly without the help of the Jacobi identities

$$\begin{aligned}J^a_\mu - k^a_\mu &= \mathrm{Tr}\sigma^a D_\nu G^*_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\nu f^{*a}_{\mu\nu} \\ &= -ig\mathrm{Tr}\sigma^a[A_\nu, G^*_{\mu\nu}] \\ &\quad -ig\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\mathrm{Tr}\sigma^a[\partial_\nu A_\rho, A_\sigma] \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Abelian monopoles in any Abelian projection such as MA gauge are related to VNABI as shown in Ref.[22]. Hence VNABI itself is expected to be a key quantity in color confinement.

2. VNABI J_μ transforms as an adjoint operator, so that does the Abelian-like monopole current k_μ. This can be proved also directly. Consider a regular gauge transformation

$$A'_\mu = VA_\mu V^\dagger - \frac{i}{g}\partial_\mu V V^\dagger.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}k'_\mu &= \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial_\nu\partial_\rho A'_\sigma \\ &= \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial_\nu\partial_\rho(VA_\mu V^\dagger - \frac{i}{g}\partial_\mu V V^\dagger) \\ &= V(\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial_\nu\partial_\rho A_\sigma)V^\dagger \\ &= Vk_\mu V^\dagger. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

3. The above equivalence shows VNABI is essentially Abelian-like. It was already argued that singularities of gauge fields corresponding to VNABI must be Abelian[23], although the reasoning is different.

4. The covariant conservation rule $D_\mu J_\mu = 0$ is proved as follows[22]:

$$\begin{aligned}D_\mu J_\mu &= D_\mu D_\nu G^*_{\nu\mu} = \frac{ig}{2}[G_{\nu\mu}, G^*_{\nu\mu}] \\ &= \frac{ig}{4}\epsilon_{\nu\mu\rho\sigma}[G_{\nu\mu}, G_{\rho\sigma}] = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where

$$\partial_\mu\partial_\nu G^*_{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{8}$$

is used. The Abelian-like monopole satisfies the Abelian-like conservation rule

$$\partial_\mu k_\mu = \partial_\mu\partial_\nu f^*_{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{9}$$

due to the antisymmetric property of the Abelian-like field strength[24]. Hence VNABI satisfies also the same Abelian-like conservation rule

$$\partial_\mu J_\mu = 0. \tag{10}$$

Both Eqs.(7) and (10) are compatible, since the difference between both quantities

$$\begin{aligned}[A_\mu, J_\mu] &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}[A_\mu, \partial_\nu f_{\rho\sigma}] \\ &= \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}[A_\mu, \partial_\nu\partial_\rho A_\sigma] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial_\nu\partial_\mu[A_\rho, A_\sigma] \\ &= \frac{i}{g}(\partial_\mu\partial_\nu G^*_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu f^*_{\mu\nu}) \\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

where (8) and (9) are used. Hence the Abelian-like conservation relation (10) is also gauge-covariant.

5. The Abelian-like conservation relation (10) gives us three conserved magnetic charges in the case of color $SU(2)$ and N^2-1 charges in the case of color $SU(N)$. But these are kinematical relations coming from the derivative with respect to the divergence of an antisymmetric tensor [24]. The number of conserved charges is different from that of the Abelian projection scenario [7], where only $N-1$ conserved charges exist in the case of color $SU(N)$.

III. DIRAC QUANTIZATION CONDITION

Next we show that the magnetic charges derived from $k_4 = J_4$ satisfy the Dirac quantization condition with respect to magnetic and electric charges. Consider a space-time point O where the Bianchi identities are violated and a three-dimensional sphere V of a large radius r from O. Since $k_4 = J_4$ is given by the total derivative, the behavior of the gauge field at the surface of the sphere is relevant. When $r \to \infty$, the non-Abelian field strength should vanish since otherwise the action diverges. Then the magnetic charge could be evaluated by a gauge field described by a pure gauge $A_\mu = \Omega\partial_\mu\Omega^\dagger/ig$, where Ω is a gauge transformation matrix satisfying $\Omega[\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu]\Omega^\dagger = 0$ at $r \to \infty$. Then the magnetic charge g_m^d in a color direction is evaluated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} g_m^d &= \int_V d^3x k_4^d = \int d^3x \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{4\nu\rho\sigma}\partial_\nu(\partial_\rho A_\sigma^d - \partial_\sigma A_\rho^d) \\ &= \int_V d^3x \frac{1}{2ig}\epsilon_{ijk}\partial_i \mathrm{Tr}\sigma^d(\partial_j\Omega\partial_k\Omega^\dagger - \partial_k\Omega\partial_j\Omega^\dagger \\ &\quad +\Omega[\partial_j,\partial_k]\Omega^\dagger) \\ &= \int_V d^3x \frac{1}{2g}\epsilon_{ijk}\{\epsilon^{abc}\partial_i(\hat\phi^a\partial_j\hat\phi^b\partial_k\hat\phi^c \\ &\quad +\partial_i \mathrm{Tr}\sigma^d\Omega[\partial_j,\partial_k]\Omega^\dagger)\} \\ &= \int_{\partial V} d^2S \frac{1}{2g}\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon^{abc}\hat\phi^a\partial_j\hat\phi^b\partial_k\hat\phi^c, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $\Omega[\partial_j,\partial_k]\Omega^\dagger = 0$ on the surface at $r \to \infty$ is used and $\hat\phi$ is a Higgs-like field defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat\phi &= \hat\phi^i\sigma^i \\ &= \Omega\sigma^d\Omega^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

$\hat\phi^2 = 1$ is shown easily. Since the field $\hat\phi$ is a single-valued function, Eq.(11) is given by the wrapping number n characterizing the homotopy class of the mapping between the spheres described by $\hat\phi^2 = (\hat\phi^1)^2 + (\hat\phi^2)^2 + (\hat\phi^3)^2 = 1$ and $\partial V = S^2$: $\pi_2(S^2) = Z$. Namely

$$g_m^d g = 4\pi n. \tag{12}$$

This is just the Dirac quantization condition. Note that the minimal color electric charge in any color direction is $g/2$. Hence the kinematical conservation rule is also topological.

What happens in the case of color $SU(3)$? Then it is easy to prove that the three $SU(2)$ subspaces (isospin, U-spin, V-spin) play the role in the above mapping and all eight magnetic charges are quantized similarly à la Dirac. In $SU(3)$, a gauge field for $r \to \infty$ becomes $A_\mu = \Omega\partial_\mu\Omega^\dagger/ig$, where now Ω is a 3×3 gauge transformation matrix of $SU(3)$. Then for example, consider a magnetic charge in the λ^1 direction, where λ^a is the GellMann matrix. Then define a Higgs-like field $\hat\phi$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat\phi &= \hat\phi^i\lambda^i & (13)\\ &= \Omega\lambda^1\Omega^\dagger. & (14) \end{aligned}$$

The magnetic charge g_m^1 in the λ^1 color direction is evaluated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} g_m^1 &= \int_V d^3x k_4^1 = \int d^3x \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{4\nu\rho\sigma}\partial_\nu(\partial_\rho A_\sigma^1 - \partial_\sigma A_\rho^1) \\ &= \int_V d^3x \frac{1}{2ig}\epsilon_{ijk}\partial_i \mathrm{Tr}\lambda^1(\partial_j\Omega\partial_k\Omega^\dagger - \partial_k\Omega\partial_j\Omega^\dagger \\ &\quad +\Omega[\partial_j,\partial_k]\Omega^\dagger) \\ &= \int_V d^3x \frac{1}{2g}\epsilon_{ijk}\{\epsilon^{abc}\partial_i(\hat\phi^a\partial_j\hat\phi^b\partial_k\hat\phi^c \\ &\quad +\partial_i \mathrm{Tr}\lambda^1\Omega[\partial_j,\partial_k]\Omega^\dagger)\} \\ &= \int_{\partial V} d^2S \frac{1}{2g}\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon^{abc}\hat\phi^a\partial_j\hat\phi^b\partial_k\hat\phi^c. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Now one sees from (14)

$$\hat\phi^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{16}$$

The condition (16) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat\phi^1)^2 + (\hat\phi^2)^2 + (\hat\phi^3)^2 &= 1, \\ \hat\phi^4 = \hat\phi^5 = \hat\phi^6 = \hat\phi^7 = \hat\phi^8 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Namely the subspace composed of $(\hat\phi^1, \hat\phi^2, \hat\phi^3)$ is a sphere S^2 and the mapping is just like that in the case of color $SU(2)$. Hence g_m^1 satifies the Dirac quantization condition

$$g_m^1 g = 4\pi n. \tag{17}$$

The same condition holds good for all other magnetic charges.

IV. THE CONFINING VACUUM PROPOSED

Now we propse a new mechanism of color confinement in which VNABI J_μ play an important role in the vacuum. However J_μ has a color electric charge as well as the magnetic charge. Hence the condensation of J_μ themselves seems to give us at the same time a spontaneous

breaking of $SU(2)$ color electric symmetry. It is contradictory to the usual expectation concerning the color confinement, where the electric color symmetry is kept exact.

Since VNABI transforms as an adjoint operator, it can be diagonalized by a unitary matrix $V_d(x)$ as follows:

$$V_d(x)J_\mu(x)V_d^\dagger(x) = \lambda_\mu(x)\frac{\sigma_3}{2},$$

where $\lambda_\mu(x)$ is the eigenvalue of $J_\mu(x)$ and is then color invariant but magnetically charged. Note that $V_d(x)$ does not depend on μ due to the Coleman-Mandula theorem[35] [37]. Then one gets

$$\Phi(x) \equiv V_d^\dagger(x)\sigma_3 V_d(x) \quad (18)$$

$$J_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_\mu(x)\Phi(x). \quad (19)$$

Namely the color electrically charged part and the magnetically charged part are separated out. From (19) and (10), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu J_\mu(x) &= \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\lambda_\mu(x)\Phi(x) + \lambda_\mu(x)\partial_\mu\Phi(x)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Since $\Phi(x)^2 = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu\lambda_\mu(x) &= -\lambda_\mu(x)\Phi(x)\partial_\mu\Phi(x) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the eigenvalue λ_μ itself satisfies the Abelian conservation rule. Moreover when use is made of (6) and (11), it is easy to prove that

$$\lambda_\mu(x)\frac{\sigma_3}{2} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial_\nu\partial_\rho A'_\sigma(x), \quad (21)$$

where $A'_\mu = V_d A_\mu V_d^\dagger - \frac{i}{g}\partial_\mu V_d V_d^\dagger$. Here Eq.(21) means the singularity appears only in the diagonal component of the gauge field A'_μ. This may be related to the work[23]. Hence if one considers for large r

$$\begin{aligned}A'_\mu &\to \Omega\partial_\mu\Omega^\dagger/ig, \\ \hat{\phi} &= \hat{\phi}^i\sigma^i = \Omega\sigma^3\Omega^\dagger,\end{aligned}$$

one can easily see from (11) that the magnetic charge from the eigenvalue λ_μ also satisfies the Dirac quantization condition (12). The condensation of the color-singlet magnetic currents λ_μ does not give rise to a spontaneous breaking of the color electric symmetry. Condensation of the color invariant magnetic currents λ_μ may be a key mechanism of the physical confining vacuum. This is a new scheme of color confinement we propose. To clarify clearly the difference of this scheme from the previous 'tHooft Abelian projection with some partial gauge-fixing, we show TableI in which typical different points are written..

V. VNABI AND ABELIAN MONOPOLES IN VARIOUS ABELIAN PROJECTION SCHEME

Let us discuss here the relation derived by Bonati et al.[22]:

$$k_\mu^{AB}(x) = \mathrm{Tr}\{J_\mu(x)\Phi^{AB}(x)\}, \quad (22)$$

where $k_\mu^{AB}(x)$ is an Abelian monopole, $\Phi^{AB}(x) = V_{AB}^\dagger(x)\sigma_3 V_{AB}(x)$ and $V_{AB}(x)$ is a partial gauge-fixing matrix in some Abelian projection like the MA gauge. Making use of Eq.(19), we get

$$k_\mu^{AB}(x) = \lambda_\mu(x)\tilde{\Phi}^3(x), \quad (23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Phi}(x) &= V_{AB}(x)V_d^\dagger(x)\sigma_3 V_{AB}^\dagger(x)V_d(x) \\ &= \tilde{\Phi}^a(x)\sigma^a.\end{aligned}$$

The relation (22) is very important, since existence of an Abelian monopole in any Abelian projection scheme is guaranteed by that of VNABI J_μ in the continuum limit. Hence if in any special gauge such as MA gauge, Abelian monopoles remain non-vanishing in the continuum as suggested by many numerical data [14–20], VNABI also remain non-vanishing in the continuum.

VI. LATTICE NUMERICAL RESULTS

To prove the correctness of the new confinement scheme, we have made numerical simulations of lattice QCD.

A. Definition of VNABI on lattice

There is a long history studying VNABI on lattice. Skala et al. [25] adopted a naive definition of VNABI using a three dimensional cube composed of six plaquettes and found that VNABI strongly influence the confining property. Gubarev and Morozov[26] adopted a more sofisticated lattice definition of VNABI and also found that the suppression of VNABI is likely to destroy confinement in $D = 4$ dimensions.

Since VNABI is found to be equivalent to Abelian-like monopoles without gauge-fixing, it is possible to define VNABI on lattice following the method of defining lattice Abelian-like monopoles[27–29].

Write an $SU(2)$ link field as

$$U(s,\mu) = U^0(s,\mu) + i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{U}(s,\mu).$$

Then Abelian-like link fields are defined[28, 29] as

$$\theta_\mu^a(s) = \arctan(\frac{U^a(s,\mu)}{U^0(s,\mu)}). \quad (24)$$

TABLE I: Comparison between the 'tHooft Abelian projection studies and the present work in $SU(2)$ QCD. $\hat{\phi}' = V_p^\dagger \sigma_3 V_p$, where V_p is a partial gauge-fixing matrix of an Abelian projection. (u_c, d_c) is a color-doublet quark pair. MA means maximally Abelian.

	The 'tHooft Abelian projection scheme		This work and Refs.[28, 29]
	Previous works[11–21]	Reference [22]	
Origin of k_μ	A singular gauge transformation	$k_\mu = \mathrm{Tr} J_\mu \hat{\phi}'$	$k_\mu^a = J_\mu^a$
No. of conserved k_μ	1		3
Role of A_μ^a	One photon A_μ with k_μ + 2 massive $A_\mu^\pm$		Three gluons A_μ^a with k_μ^a
Flux squeezing	One electric field E_μ		Three electric fields E_μ^a
Number of physical mesons	2 Abelian neutrals, $\bar{u}_c u_c$ and $d_c d_c$		1 color singlet $\bar{u}_c u_c + d_c d_c$
Expected confining vacuum	Condensation of Abelian monopoles		Condensation of color-invariant λ_μ
Privileged gauge choice	No special one	MA gauge	No gauge-fixing

Abelian-like plaquette variables

$$\theta_{\mu\nu}^a(s) \;=\; \partial_\mu \theta_\nu^a(s) - \partial_\nu \theta_\mu^a(s)$$

are decomposed into

$$\theta_{\mu\nu}^a(s) \;=\; \bar{\theta}_{\mu\nu}^a(s) + 2\pi n_{\mu\nu}^a(s) \;\; (|\bar{\theta}_{\mu\nu}^a| < \pi), \qquad (25)$$

where $n_{\mu\nu}^a(s)$ is an integer corresponding to the number of the Dirac string. Abelian-like monopoles without gauge-fixing are defined[27] as

$$k_\mu^a(s) = (1/2)\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}\partial_\alpha \bar{\theta}_{\beta\gamma}^a(s+\hat{\mu}). \qquad (26)$$

Hence VNABI is defined on lattice similarly as

$$J_\mu(s) \;=\; (1/2)\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}\partial_\alpha \bar{\theta}_{\beta\gamma}(s+\hat{\mu}). \qquad (27)$$

This quantity transforms as an adjoint operator as shown in the continuum in Eq.(6), neglecting higher order terms with respect to the lattice distance. It is to be noted that a color component $J_\mu^a(s)$ of the lattice VNABI is integer. This corresponds to the Dirac quantization codition between electric and magnetic charges Eq.(12)[27]. Eq.(27) leads us to the Abelian-like conservation rule $\partial'_\mu J_\mu(s) = 0$ where ∂'_μ denotes the lattice backward difference.

B. Existence of VNABI in the continuum limit

The present author with collaborators have made high presision lattice simulations of Abelian-like monopoles without gauge-fixing [28, 29]. The results obtained are very interesting, although the authors of Ref. [28, 29] could not understand at that time why gauge-variant monopoles are important. Let me review some of them explicitly in short, since the same results are obtained with respect to VNABI.

1. A static quark-antiquark potential derived from Abelian-like Polyakov loop correlators gives us the same string tension as the non-Abelian one within the statistical error bar.

2. The Hodge decomposition of the Abelian-like Polyakov loop correlator to the regular photon and the singular monopole parts also reveals that only the monopole part is responsible for the string tension.

3. The scaling behaviors of the string tensions are shown rather beautifully and the volume dependence is not seen, although more detailed studies are necessary.

4. The flux-tube profile is studied by evaluating connected correlation functions [30, 31]. Abelian-like electric fields defined in an arbitrary color direction are squeezed by monopole supercurrents with the same color direction. Only the electric field parallel to the static quark pair E_{Az}^a is found to be squeezed. The penetration length λ is determined as $\lambda = 0.128(2)$ [fm]. This is similar to those obtained in various Abelian projection cases [21].

5. To see what squeezes the Abelian-like electric field, Ref.[28, 29] studied the Abelian-like (dual) Ampère law

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E}_A^a = \partial_4 \vec{B}_A^a + 2\pi \vec{k}^a \;,$$

where $B_{Ai}^a(s) = (1/2)\epsilon_{ijk}\bar{\theta}_{jk}^a(s)$. Each term is evaluated on the same mid-plane as for the electric field. It is found that only the azimuthal components are non-vanishing, which are plotted in Fig. 1 [29]. The curl of the electric field is non-vanishing and is reproduced only by monopole currents. These behaviors are clearly a signal of the Abelian-like dual Meissner effect, which are quite the same as those observed in the MA gauge [19, 20].

6. The coherence length ξ is evaluated from the correlation function between the Wilson loop and the squared monopole density $(k_\mu^a)^2(s)$ [32]. The coherence length extracted from the correlation function $g(r) = c_1' \exp(-\sqrt{2}r/\xi) + c_0'$ is $\xi/\sqrt{2} = 0.102(3)$ [fm]. The GL parameter $\sqrt{2}\kappa = \lambda/\xi = 1.25(6)$ is close to the values obtained with gauge fixing[21]. Since the Wilson loop used here may still be small, what one can say is that the vacuum type is near the border between the type 1 and 2.



FIG. 1: The curl of the Abelian-like electric field, magnetic displacement currents and monopole currents for $W(R = 5a, T = 5a)$.

7. Since all color components of Abelian-like monopoles make condensation, only a state without any color electric field can survive in physical world. They are only non-Abelian color singlets. Hence non-Abelian color confinement can be understood by the Abelian-like dual Meissner effect due to condensation of Abelian-like monopoles and VNABI.

These numerical results, although the volume effects and the continuum limit are not yet completely studied, suggest that (1) the lattice definition[27] works good also in the case of VNABI or Abelian-like monopoles without any gauge-fixing and (2) the dual Meissner effect caused by condensation of VNABI is a key mechanism of color confinement of QCD.

Some comments are in order.

- To check the dual Meissner effect in the continuum limit, it is interesting to derive an infrared effective theory in terms of VNABI. Such a study was done in the case of MA gauge [33, 34] with the help of an inverse Monte-Carlo method and the block-spin transformation. However in the case of VNABI, it transforms as an adjoint operator and the effective action for VNABI should satisfy the color symmetry.

- Since VNABI transforms as an adjoint operator, a quantity J_μ^2 is gauge-invariant and physical. Taking a sum with respect to the Lorentz index, one gets a gauge-invariant and a Lorentz scalar observable $\sum_\mu J_\mu^2$. It is interesting to study the quantity in numerical simulations, since it may correspond to a monopole scalar field expected theoretically[9, 10].

- Also interesting are numerical studies like those done in Refs.[25, 26] analyzing the effect of VNABI, although their lattice definitions of VNABI are different.

VII. CONCLUSION

Finally let me summarize new findings of this note.

1. VNABI is equal to the Abelian-like monopole coming from the violation of the Abelian-like Bianchi identities.

2. VNABI satisfies the Abelian-like conservation rule as well as the covariant one. Hence there are $N^2 - 1$ conserved magnetic charges in the case of color $SU(N)$.

3. All magnetic charges are quantized à la Dirac.

4. VNABI can be defined on lattice as lattice Abelian-like monopoles. Previous numerical results suggest that the dual Meissner effect due to condensation of VNABI must be the color confinement mechanism of QCD. The role of Abelian monopoles is played by VNABI. This must be a new scheme for color confinement in QCD.

5. Condensation of the color invariant magnetic currents λ_μ which are the eigenvalue of VNABI J_μ may be a key mechanism of the physical confining vacuum.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Dr.Yoshiaki Koma for discussions on the Dirac string, Dr. Valentine Zakharov for suggesting a problem concerning the condensation of non-Abelian monopoles and Dr. Seiko Kato for giving information of a new definition of lattice monopoles.

[1] K. Devlin, *The millennium problems : the seven greatest unsolved mathematical puzzles of our time*,Basic Books, New York (2002).
[2] G. 't Hooft, in *Proceedings of the EPS International*, edited by A. Zichichi, p. 1225, 1976.
[3] S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rept. **23**, 245 (1976).
[4] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B426**, 19 (1994).
[5] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. **B79**, 276 (1974).
[6] A. M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. **B120**, 429 (1977).
[7] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. **B190**, 455 (1981).
[8] Z. F. Ezawa and A. Iwazaki, Phys. Rev. **D25**, 2681 (1982).
[9] T. Suzuki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **80**, 929 (1988).
[10] S. Maedan and T. Suzuki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **81**, 229 (1989).
[11] T. Suzuki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **69**, 1827 (1983).
[12] A. S. Kronfeld, M. L. Laursen, G. Schierholz, and U. J. Wiese, Phys. Lett. **B198**, 516 (1987).

[13] A. S. Kronfeld, G. Schierholz, and U. J. Wiese, Nucl. Phys. **B293**, 461 (1987).

[14] T. Suzuki, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **30**, 176 (1993).

[15] V. Singh, D. A. Browne, and R. W. Haymaker, Phys. Lett. **B306**, 115 (1993).

[16] M. N. Chernodub and M. I. Polikarpov, in *"Confinement, Duality and Nonperturbative Aspects of QCD"*, edited by P. van Baal, p. 387, Cambridge, 1997, Plenum Press.

[17] G. S. Bali, C. Schlichter, and K. Schilling, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **131**, 645 (1998).

[18] T. Suzuki, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **131**, 633 (1998).

[19] Y. Koma, M. Koma, E.-M. Ilgenfritz, T. Suzuki, and M. I. Polikarpov, Phys. Rev. **D68**, 094018 (2003),

[20] Y. Koma, M. Koma, E.-M. Ilgenfritz, and T. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. **D68**, 114504 (2003),

[21] T. Sekido, K. Ishiguro, Y. Koma, Y. Mori, and T. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. **D76**, 031501 (2007).

[22] C. Bonati, A. Di Giacomo, L. Lepori and F. Pucci, Phys. Rev. **D81**, 085022 (2010).

[23] A. Di Giacomo and V.I. Zakharov, Phys.Atom.Nucl. **73**, 711 (2010).

[24] J. Arafune, P.G.O. Freund and C.J. Goebel, J.Math.Phys. **16**, 433 (1975).

[24] Bornyakov:2005iy V. G. Bornyakov, E. -M. Ilgenfritz and M. Muller-Preussker. Phys. Rev. D **72**, 054511 (2005).

[24] Kato:1998ur S. Kato, N. Nakamura, T. Suzuki, and S. Kitahara, Nucl. Phys. B **520**, 323 (1998).

[25] P. Skala, M. Faber and M. Zach, Phys. Lett. **B424**, 355 (1998)
P. Skala, M. Faber and M. Zach, Nucl. Phys. **B494**, 293 (1997).
P. Skala, M. Faber and M. Zach, Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.**49**, 301 (1996)

[26] F.V. Gubarev and S. M. Morozov, Phys. Rev. **D71**, 114514 (2005).

[27] T. A. DeGrand and D. Toussaint, Phys. Rev. **D22**, 2478 (1980).

[28] T. Suzuki, K. Ishiguro, Y. Koma and T. Sekido, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 034502 (2008).

[29] T. Suzuki, M. Hasegawa, K. Ishiguro, Y. Koma and T. Sekido, Phys. Rev. **D80**, 054504 (2009).

[30] P. Cea and L. Cosmai, Phys. Rev. **D52**, 5152 (1995).

[31] A. Di Giacomo, M. Maggiore, and S. Olejnik, Phys. Lett. **B236**, 199 (1990).

[32] M. N. Chernodub *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D72**, 074505 (2005).

[33] H. Shiba and T. Suzuki, Phys. Lett. **B351**, 519 (1995).

[34] M. Chernodub et al., Phys. Rev. **D62**, 094506 (2000).

[35] S. Coleman and J. Mandula, Phys. Rev. **159**, 1251 (1967).

[36] T. Kugo and I. Ojima, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **66**,1 (1979)

[37] Applying the Coleman-Mandula theorem to QCD, I note that Kugo-Ojima[36] showed a manifestly covariant and local canonical operator formalism of non-Abelian gauge theories. Although introducing an indefinite metric is inevitable, the unitarity of the physical S-matrix is proved. Moreover the string-like behavior existing in gauge fields in the case of color confinement is not rejected in the framework.